



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 09/927,163 | 08/09/2001 | John Wilkes | 10006371-1 | 4638 | |
| 7590 12/16/2005 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY | | | LY, ANH | | |
| Intellectual Prop | perty Administration | | | | |
| P.O. Box 272400 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400 | | | 2162 | | |
| | | • | DATE MAILED: 12/16/2005 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|--|
| 09/927,163 | WILKES, JOHN | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Anh Ly | 2162 | | |

| | Anney | 2102 | |
|--|--|--|---------------------------------------|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 23 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI | IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | FOR ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comparing time periods: | on the same day as filing a Notice of lowing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in | of Appeal. To avoid ab offidavit, or other evidence of the compliance with 37 (| ence, which CFR 41.31; or |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the | visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th | | er is later. In no |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f |). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F | RST REPLY WAS FILE | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the | The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) | on fee under 37 as set forth in (b |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must I AMENDMENTS | extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e) |), to avoid dismissal d | of the appeal. |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further compared to place the application in be | onsideration and/or search (see NC ow); | TE below); | |
| appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | | Title 133de3 for |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | 116 and 41.33(a)). | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. | | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | • | | |
| 6. | · | - | • |
| 7. Sor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | vill be entered and an | explanation of |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-25</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>26</u> . | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented. | al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a (1). |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | on of the status of the claims after o | entry is below or attac | ched. |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | okolene NOT oben de la | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. | | | ince because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper | No(s). | |
| | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added to independent claim requirefurther consideration and searches. .

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Examiner maintains the rejection.

Applicant argued that, "the same data storage medium that stores the data to be accessed." (Page 2 of Remarks dated 11/23/2005).

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., "the same storage medium that stores the data to be accessed") are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).